

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,566	09/08/2000		Masaaki Ogura	196873US2	2825
22850	7590	08/20/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	,	MCCLELLAND,	PHAM, THIERRY L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2624	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/658,566	OGURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thierry L Pham	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>une 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10,12-16,18 and 20</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10,12-16,18 and 20</u> is/are rejec	Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10,12-16,18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 6/28//04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 10, 13-16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 2. by Suzuki (U.S. 5270775).

Regarding claim 1, Suzuki discloses an image forming device management system (management network system, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 54-67) in which a customer system and a center system are connected by a network, the customer system including a data communication device connecting a plurality of image forming devices to the network, the center system including a center management device connected to the network, the data communication device comprising:

- (1) first message means for transmitting (network, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 54-67), on a normal message date (normal collecting date, abstract, fig. 7, col. 7, lines 25-67 and col. 9, lines 15-54) for each of the respective image forming devices, a usage data (usage data such as number of copies, col. 3, lines 64-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) of a corresponding one of the image forming devices to the center management device via the network by using a call sent by the data communication device; and
- (2) second message means for transmitting (network, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 54-67), on an early message date that is earlier than the normal message date (if a collection date falls on holiday, then transmitting a collecting message on a day before a normal date, fig. 7, abstract, col. 7, lines 25-67 and col. 9, lines 15-54) for a corresponding one of the image forming devices, a usage data

Art Unit: 2624

Page 3

(usage data such as number of copies, col. 3, lines 64-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) of the corresponding one of the image forming devices to the center management device via the network by using a call sent by the data communication device, and

- (3) the center management device comprising (managing apparatus, figs. 1-4, cols. 3-4):
- (a) first message reception means (network, fig. 1, cols. 3-4) for receiving, on the normal message date (normal collecting date, fig. 7, fig. 7, abstract, col. 7, lines 25-67 and col. 9, lines 15-54) for each of the respective image forming devices, the usage data (usage data such as number of copies, col. 3, lines 64-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) of the corresponding one of the image forming devices that is transmitted by the first message means using the call sent by the data communication device;
- (b) second message reception means (network, fig. 1, cols. 3-4) for receiving, on the early message date (if a collection date falls on holiday, then transmitting a collecting message on a day before a normal date, fig. 7, abstract, col. 7, lines 25-67 and col. 9, lines 15-54) for each of the respective image forming devices, the usage data usage data such as number of copies, col. 3, lines 64-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) of the corresponding one of the image forming devices that is transmitted by the second message means using the call sent by the data communication device; and
- (c) remote management means for issuing a billing of a usage charge (calculating total usage data and issuing bill, fig. 6, col. 5, lines 1-27 and cols. 7-8) of the corresponding one of the image forming devices based on the usage data received by either the first message reception means or the second message reception means;
- (d) input means (entry key on keyboard, fig. 3) for inputting a holiday data (holiday data, col. 9, lines 15-67) of the center system and/or the customer system;
- (e) calculation means (charge calculating table, fig. 6, col. 7, lines 57-67 to col. 8, lines 1-50) for calculating an early message date for a corresponding one of the image forming devices based on the holiday data, input by the input means, and based on the normal message date for the corresponding one of the image forming devices; and
- (f) early message date setting means (collection-date setting means, col. 7, lines 25-55) for transmitting the early message date, calculated by the calculation means, to the data

Art Unit: 2624

communication device via the network, so that the calculated early message date is set in the data communication device.

Regarding claim 4, Suzuki further discloses the image forming device management system according to claim 1, wherein the early message date setting means transmits (collection-date setting means, col. 7, lines 25-55), on the normal message date for the corresponding one of the image forming devices, the early message date collection-date setting means, col. 7, lines 25-55), calculated by the calculation means (charge calculating table, fig. 6, col. 7, lines 57-67 to col. 8, lines 1-50), to the data communication device via the telephone network (network, figs. 1-5), so that the calculated early message date is set in the data communication device.

Regarding claims 6-7, Suzuki further discloses the image forming device management system according to claim 1, wherein the normal message date is indicated by a 2-digit day number (day of the week, fig. 7, cols. 7-8), and the early message date is indicated by a 4-digit month-and-day number (also collecting data monthly, fig. 6, cols. 7-8).

Regarding claim 8, Suzuki further discloses the image forming device management system according to claim 6, wherein the second message means transmits, by using the call sent by the data communication device, the usage data of the corresponding one of the image forming devices to the center management device when a current month-and-day number matches (col. 7, lines 25-55) with a month-and-day number of the early message date for the corresponding one of the image forming devices.

Regarding claims 10, 15-16, 20, which recite limitation that are similar to claim 1 above, please see rejection rationale/basis as described in claim 1 above for more details.

Regarding claims 13-14, which recite limitations that are similar to claims 6-7 (respectively) above, please see rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 6-7 above for more details.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki as described in claims 1, 10, and/or 15 above, and in view of Hirata et al (U.S. 5543892).

Regarding claims 5, 12, and 18, Suzuki does not explicitly disclose wherein the data communication device comprising a message inhibition means for inhibiting the transmission of the usage data to the center management device.

Hirata, in the same field of endeavor for communication network, discloses a data communication device comprising a message inhibition means for inhibiting the transmission of the usage data to the center management device (abstract, col. 6, lines 17-54 and col.15, lines 39-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Suzuki as per teachings of Hirata because of a following reason: (1) to avoid delaying of collecting of usage data when the collection date is a holiday date (col. 1, lines 35-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Suzuki with Hirata to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 12, and 18.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, the applicants argued the prior art does not teach an input means of the center system and/or the customer system for inputting holiday data and such entry is entered by the operators.

Art Unit: 2624

In response: Suzuki teaches input means (entry key on keyboard, fig. 3) for inputting a holiday data (holiday data, col. 9, lines 15-67) of the center system and/or the customer system. As shown in fig. 3, host computer 41 comprising a calendar 47 with advanced/predetermined holiday data/date which entered/set by an operator/user via a keyboard 43 and/or entry key 35 of fig. 5. The holiday calendar date settings can be edited using keyboard 43 as shown in fig. 3, and these holiday calendar data settings are varied for each companies/corporations. See col. 6, lines 15-22, col. 7, lines 25-55, col. 9, lines 15-67 to col. 10, lines 1-6 for more details.

In addition, the applicants also argued the prior art do not teach a communication network using "the telephone network".

In response: Nowhere in claim 1 recites the limitations "telephone network". However, Suzuki explicitly teaches "public network" as shown in fig. 1 using modern devices as shown in fig. 2. Inherently, modern devices are communicated via a "telephone" line.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

Art Unit: 2624

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

TP

GABRIEL GARCIA V